SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MAY 10, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 10, 2001, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Mosk, Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Brown.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Harry Kinney, Supreme Court Marshal.

S090553 Rodney Scott Pearl, Petitioner

V.

Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Respondent

Board of Trustees of the California State University et al., Respondents

Cause called. Edward L. Faunce opened argument for Petitioner.

Richard Maness, appearing for Amicus Curiae California Public Employee Retirement System continued argument for Petitioner.

Neil P. Sullivan, Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Faunce replied.

Cause submitted.

S077866 The People, Respondent

v.

Travis Simon, Jr., Appellant

Cause called. L. Richard Braucher, First District Appellate Project, argued for Appellant.

Ross C. Moody, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Braucher replied.

Cause submitted.

S085410 The People, Respondent

v.

Marcos Trevino, Appellant

Cause called. Alan D. Tate, Deputy Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Gail Harper argued for Appellant.

Mr. Tate replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed upon 2:00 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S087881 Estate of Dennis H. Griswold, Deceased

Francis V. See, Appellant

v.

Norma B. Doner-Griswold, Respondent

Cause called. Lawrence Sorenson argued for Respondent.

Herb Fox argued for Appellant.

Mr. Sorenson replied.

Cause submitted.

S020032 The People, Respondent

V.

Raymond Anthony Lewis, Appellant

Cause called. Thomas Kallay argued for Appellant.

Jeffrey D. Firestone, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Kallay replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

S083934 Blue Ridge Insurance Company, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Brigitte Jacobsen et al., Defendants and Appellants.

We answer the question certified by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in the affirmative.

Brown, J.

We Concur:

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by George, C.J.

We Concur:

Mosk, J.

Werdegar, J.

Concurring Opinion by Mosk, J.

I Concur:

Werdegar, J.

S020803 People, Respondent

V.

Richard Bert Stewart, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including July 6, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S029174 People, Respondent

v.

Donald Green, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 13, 2001

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S045504 People, Respondent

v.

Hooman A. Panah, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 17, 2001.

S090162 In re Armenia Levi Cudjo, Jr.

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 11, 2001.

S087893 People, Respondent

3rd Dist. v.

C024654 Ejaan Dupree McCoy et al., Appellants

Because the issues to be argued in this matter relate solely to defendant Derrick Lakey, the request of counsel for codefendant Ejaan Dupree McCoy to be excused from being present at oral argument, filed May 10, 2001, is granted.

S088116 Cecelio Lugtu et al., Appellantsw

4th Dist. v.

D032518 California Highway Patrol et al., Respondents

Div. 1 Appellants' request for judicial notice, filed November 15, 2000, is

granted.

S088368 People, Respondent

5th Dist. v

F027481 Susan Lee Russo, Appellant

In re Susan Lee Russo on Habeas Corpus

Appellant's request for judicial notice, filed April 6, 2001, is granted.

S095656 In re **Howard C. Knadler** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Howard C. Knadler. State Bar No. 85063**, be suspended for four years; that execution of the suspension be stayed; and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California; and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on November 28, 2000, as modified by its order filed December 21, 2000. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for termination of his actual suspension. The period of actual suspension shall be consecutive to the period of actual suspension previously imposed in S073473. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S095779 In re **Michael Anthony Rodriguez** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael Anthony Rodriguez**, **State Bar No. 153962**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed December 19, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

SAN FRANCISCO May 10, 2001 772

S095780 In re **Michael Leigh Reagan** on Discipline

It is ordered that Michael Leigh Reagan, State Bar No. 82583, be suspended from the practice of law for five years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for three years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he has makes restitution to South Coast Rehabilitation Center in the amount of \$3000; to Julio V. Westerband, M.D., in the amount of \$873; to South Coast Rehabilitation Center in the amount of \$1888; to David J. Weed in the amount of \$6666.66 (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 5, 2000, as modified by its order filed December 4, 2000. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004. *(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

In re **Eric Tarankow** on Discipline

S095784

It is ordered that **Eric Tarankow**, **State Bar No. 101427**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on November 29, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v.

State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.

S095785 In re on Discipline

It is ordered that Christopher M. Vacarro, State Bar No. 123775, be suspended from the practice of law for one year; that execution of the suspension be stayed; and that he be actually suspended for 60 days as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on November 30, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

SUPREME COURT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION MAY 29, 30 and 31, 2001 (SECOND AMENDED)

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom at 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 29, 30 and 31, 2001.

TUESDAY, MAY 29, 2001 – 1:30 P.M.		
S090791	People v. Mitchell	
S086220	People v. Buckhalter	
S075232	People v. Collins	
	-	
WEDNESDAY, MAY 30, 2001 - 9:00 A.M.		
S087265	Conservatorship of Wendland	
S085213	In re Arturo D. (To be called and continued)	
S089957	People v. Toledo	
S088091	People v. Masloski	
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>	
S090699	Montenegro v. Diaz	
S090730	Renee J. v. Superior Court, County of Orange; (Orange	
	County Social Services Agency)	
S076262	People v. Williams	
THURSDAY, MAY 31, 2001 - 9:00 A.M.		
S087484	Richards v. CH2M Hill	
S080150	Flannery v. Prentice	
S082570	Donovan v. RRL Corporation	
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>	
S088025	People v. Sanchez	
S083267	People v. Cervantes	
S004665	People v. Ward Francis Weaver (Automatic Appeal)	
	CEODGE	

GEORGE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this Court, counsel must comply with Rule 10(d), California Rules of Court.

SUPREME COURT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION JUNE 5, 6 and 7, 2001 (FIRST AMENDED)

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, 3rd Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California, on June 5, 6 and 7, 2001.

	<u>TUESDAY</u> , JUNE 5, 2001 – 2 P.M.
S081900	Golden Gateway Center v. Golden Gateway Tenants
	(Mosk, J. not participating; Klein, J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore)
S089733	In re Randy G.
S089010	Cornette v. Department. of Transportation
	WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2001 - 9:00 A.M.
S085224	Marks v. Superior Court, County of Alameda; (People)
S078199	Safeco Insurance v. Robert S.
S088368	People v. Russo
S027555	In re Andrew Rubin and Terrance Verson Scott, etc.;
	People v. Alfredo R. Prieto (Order to Show Cause re Contempt)
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>
S084105	Torres v. Parkhouse Tire Service
S030416	People v. Sergio Ochoa (Automatic Appeal)
S016718	People v. Steven D. Catlin (Automatic Appeal)
	THURSDAY, JUNE 7, 2001 - 9:00 A.M.
S088116	Lugtu v. California Highway Patrol
S086787	Styne v. Stevens
S088632	Camargo v. Tjaarda Dairy
	<u>1:30 P.M.</u>
S087893	People v. McCoy
S011425	People v. Ronald Harold Seaton (Automatic Appeal)

GEORGE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this Court, counsel must comply with Rule 10(d), California Rules of Court.